

# Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

<b>Bill Number:</b> 2447 S HB	<b>Title:</b> Children and families	<b>Agency:</b> 055-Administrative Office of the Courts
-------------------------------	-------------------------------------	--

## Part I: Estimates

**No Fiscal Impact**

**Estimated Cash Receipts to:**

NONE

**Estimated Expenditures from:**

STATE	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
State FTE Staff Years		2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0
<b>Account</b>					
General Fund-State 001-1		479,400	479,400	939,600	939,600
State Subtotal \$		479,400	479,400	939,600	939,600
COUNTY	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
County FTE Staff Years					
<b>Account</b>					
Local - Counties					
Counties Subtotal \$					
CITY	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
City FTE Staff Years					
<b>Account</b>					
Local - Cities					
Cities Subtotal \$					

**Estimated Capital Budget Impact:**

NONE

*The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.*

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note for Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Contact	Phone:	Date: 02/06/2024
Agency Preparation: Angie Wirkkala	Phone: 360-704-5528	Date: 02/13/2024
Agency Approval: Chris Stanley	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 02/13/2024
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

194,466.00

Form FN (Rev 1/00)

Request # 192-1

Bill # 2447 S HB

## Part II: Narrative Explanation

### II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

This bill would address the support to children, families, and child welfare workers by improving services and clarifying the child removal process in circumstances involving high-potency synthetic opioids.

Section 108(1)(c) would amend judicial training requirements in RCW 2.56.230 related to superior courts participating in the Family and Youth Justice Court Improvement Program. Within six months of assuming duties in a family and juvenile court, required training topics must also include the risk and danger presented to children and youth by high-potency synthetic opioids, policies and procedures of the Department of Children, Youth, and Families regarding safety and service planning, and the Washington State legal standards for removal of a child based on abuse or neglect.

Section 108(2)(b) would expand the eligible costs family and juvenile courts may receive reimbursement for to include the costs of training to professionals involved in child welfare court proceedings including, but not limited to, attorneys and guardians ad litem.

Section 109 would require the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) provide funding to a statewide organization focused on advocating for the best interest of children experiencing abuse and neglect to develop and provide training on a number of topics.

### II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

None

### II. C - Expenditures

To deliver the required training to judges, commissioners, and court partners that would be required under the bill, the AOC's Family and Youth Justice Program would need additional training capacity and pass-thru funding to courts.

The work of applying synthetic opioid information to the existing task dependency professionals have of assessing child safety and developing effective safety plans to keep children in their homes when a parent is struggling with a substance use disorder would include:

Total Costs: FY 2025 \$479,000 one-time, \$470,000 per fiscal year ongoing

Training Resources. The AOC would need to add two additional trainers to develop and provide current, science-based fentanyl and child safety training to judicial officers and other court partners. The new staff would also facilitate cross-system learning events to support community building and respect at the court level.

Safety and Substance Use Training Specialist (Senior Court Program Analyst) and Dependency Court Distance Learning Specialist (Court Education Professional). Beginning July 1, 2024 and ongoing, AOC would require salary, benefits, and associated standard costs for 2.0 FTE focused on training.

Additionally, AOC would need additional travel funding for the staff to support in-person training (\$2,000 per year) and to contract for subject matter expertise in safety and fentanyl/substance use disorder work (\$5,000 per year).

Grant Funding. Within current resources, the AOC only has enough funding to reimburse courts for salary and benefits of local court coordinators. Expanding the eligible costs of the program with the expectation of broadening training to professionals involved in child welfare cases would require \$64,000 each fiscal year ongoing to be granted to participating courts. The funding would cover cross-system convenings including speaker fees, facility and meeting logistic fees, materials, and pro tem coverage.

Pass-Thru Funding. AOC assumes the requirements of Section 109 would be a pass-thru to the Washington Association of Child Advocate Programs. AOC would pass \$60,000 to WACAP each year for regional program trainings for guardians ad litem.

Explanation of standard costs by object:

Salary estimates are current biennium actual rates at Step L.

Benefits are the agency average of 30.59% of salaries.

Goods and Services are the agency average of \$3,600 per direct program FTE.

Travel is the agency average of \$2,000 per direct program FTE.

194,466.00

Form FN (Rev 1/00)

2

Request # 192-1

Bill # 2447 S HB

Ongoing Equipment is the agency average of \$1,800 per direct program FTE.  
 One-time IT Equipment is \$4,800 for the first fiscal year per direct program FTE.  
 Agency Indirect is calculated at a rate of 25.86% of direct program salaries and benefits.

### Part III: Expenditure Detail

#### Part III: Expenditure Detail

##### III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

<i>State</i>	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
FTE Staff Years		2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0
Salaries and Wages		197,100	197,100	394,200	394,200
Employee Benefits		60,300	60,300	120,600	120,600
Professional Service Contracts		5,000	5,000	10,000	10,000
Goods and Other Services		7,200	7,200	14,400	14,400
Travel		6,000	6,000	12,000	12,000
Capital Outlays		13,200	13,200	7,200	7,200
Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
Grants, Benefits & Client Services		124,000	124,000	248,000	248,000
Debt Service					
Interagency Reimbursements					
Intra-Agency Reimbursements		66,600	66,600	133,200	133,200
<b>Total \$</b>		479,400	479,400	939,600	939,600

##### III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

NONE

##### III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

NONE

##### III. D - FTE Detail

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
Court Education Professional	88,800		1.0	0.5	1.0	1.0
Senior Court Program Analyst	108,300		1.0	0.5	1.0	1.0
<b>Total FTEs</b>			2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0

##### III. E - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

### Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

#### IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

#### IV. B1 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (State)

NONE

#### IV. B2 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (County)

NONE

194,466.00

Form FN (Rev 1/00)

**IV. B3 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (City)**

NONE

**IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout**

*Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.*

NONE

None